Activation of HydA<sup>ΔEFG</sup> Requires a Preformed [4Fe-4S] Cluster†
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ABSTRACT: The H-cluster is a complex bridged metal assembly at the active site of [FeFe]-hydrogenases that consists of a [4Fe-4S] subcluster bridged to a 2Fe-containing subcluster with unique nonprotein ligands, including carbon monoxide, cyanide, and a dithiolate ligand of unknown composition. Specific biosynthetic gene products (HydE, HydF, and HydG) responsible for the biosynthesis of the H-cluster and the maturation of active [FeFe]-hydrogenase have previously been identified and shown to be required for the heterologous expression of active [FeFe]-hydrogenase [Posewitz, M. C., et al. (2004) J. Biol. Chem. 279, 25711–25720]. The precise roles of the maturation proteins are unknown; the most likely possibility is that they are directed at the synthesis of the entire 6Fe-containing H-cluster, the 2Fe subcluster, or only the unique ligands of the 2Fe subcluster. The spectroscopic and biochemical characterization of HydA<sup>ΔEFG</sup> (the [FeFe]-hydrogenase structural protein expressed in the absence of the maturation machinery) reported here indicates that a [4Fe-4S] cluster is incorporated into the H-cluster site. The purified protein in a representative preparation contains Fe (3.1 ± 0.5 Fe atoms per HydA<sup>ΔEFG</sup>) and S<sup>2-</sup> (1.8 ± 0.5 S<sup>2-</sup> atoms per HydA<sup>ΔEFG</sup>) and exhibits UV-visible spectroscopic features characteristic of iron-sulfur clusters, including a bleaching of the visible chromophore upon addition of dithionite. The reduced protein gave rise to an axial S = 1/2 EPR signal (g = 2.04 and 1.91) characteristic of a reduced [4Fe-4S]<sup>2-</sup> cluster. Mössbauer spectroscopic characterization of [Fe]-enriched HydA<sup>ΔEFG</sup> provided further evidence of the presence of a redox active [4Fe-4S]<sup>2-</sup>/0 cluster. Iron K-edge EXAFS data provided yet further support for the presence of a [4Fe-4S] cluster in HydA<sup>ΔEFG</sup>. These spectroscopic studies were combined with in vitro activation studies that demonstrate that HydA<sup>ΔEFG</sup> can be activated by the specific maturases only when a [4Fe-4S] cluster is present in the protein. In sum, this work supports a model in which the role of the maturation machinery is to synthesize and insert the 2Fe subcluster and/or its ligands and not the entire 6Fe-containing H-cluster bridged assembly.

The [NiFe]- and [FeFe]-hydrogenases are widely distributed in nature and efficiently catalyze the reversible oxidation of molecular hydrogen (H<sub>2</sub> ↔ 2H<sup>+</sup> + 2e<sup>-</sup>). The [NiFe]-hydrogenases, present in archaea and bacteria, generally function to oxidize molecular H<sub>2</sub> and provide reducing equivalents for metabolic processes, while the [FeFe]-hydrogenases, present in bacteria and eukarya, function more broadly to catalyze both proton reduction and H<sub>2</sub> oxidation. Recently, there has been a growing interest in these metalloenzymes because of their inherent applicability in the development of renewable H<sub>2</sub>-based energy technology.

The active sites for both [NiFe]- and [FeFe]-hydrogenases have been determined by X-ray crystallography and are united by the presence of π acceptor CO<sup>1</sup> and CN<sup>−</sup> ligands, which are not common in biology. These diatomic ligands stabilize low-spin and low-valent oxidation states of the metal centers at the active sites. For the [NiFe]-hydrogenase, the active sites from a variety of different sulfate-reducing bacterial sources have been determined to consist of a Ni atom coordinated to an Fe atom via two thiolate ligands and a bridging oxygen species. The Ni atom is further coordinated by two cysteine ligands from the protein, while the Fe atom is coordinated to two terminal CN<sup>−</sup> ligands and one terminal CO ligand. In comparison, the [FeFe]-hydrogenase active site contains a 6Fe-containing complex cluster termed the H-cluster, as determined for
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In this study, we present spectroscopic and biochemical characterizations of HydA<sup>ΔEFG</sup> from <i>C. reinhardtii</i> to provide insights into the [FeFe]-hydrogenase maturation and H-cluster biosynthesis. HydA from the eukaryotic green algae <i>C. reinhardtii</i> contains only the H-cluster binding domains and represents the simplest [FeFe]-hydrogenase known. Unlike [FeFe]-hydrogenases from <i>C. pasteurianum</i> and <i>D. desulfuricans</i>, the [FeFe]-hydrogenases from eukaryotic green algae do not contain additional accessory Fe-S clusters with plant-type ferredoxin domains that would complicate spectroscopic characterization of the Fe-S clusters present at the active site (15–17). Our characterization of HydA<sup>ΔEFG</sup> from <i>C. reinhardtii</i> indicates that a [4Fe-4S] cluster is present in HydA<sup>ΔEFG</sup> and is required for in vitro activation by the HydE, HydF, and HydG maturation enzymes. Accordingly, it follows that the aforementioned maturation enzymes are not directed at the synthesis of the entire 6Fe-containing H-cluster.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cloning and Cell Growth Conditions.** HydA<sup>ΔEFG</sup> from <i>C. reinhardtii</i> was cloned into a pET Duet vector as described previously (10) and modified for the presence of an N-terminal six-histidine tag. HydA<sup>ΔEFG</sup> from <i>C. reinhardtii</i> was expressed in <i>E. coli</i> BL21(DE3) cells and cultivated in either 2 L flasks with a 1 L medium volume or a 10 L benchtop fermentor (New Brunswick) containing modified MOPS minimal medium (18) supplemented with 5.5% glucose and 150 μg/mL ampicillin. Either 5 or 50 mL overnight cultures of BL21(DE3) cells were used to inoculate 1 or 10 L cultures, respectively. Later, cell expressions were cultivated in LB medium buffered with 50 mM phosphate (pH 7.6), supplemented with 5.5% glucose and 150 μg/mL ampicillin. The cells were grown at 37 °C with vigorous shaking (flasks) and agitation and aeration (fermentor, 250 rpm, 3 L/min) to an optical density of 0.5 (measured at 600 nm with a visible spectrophotometer from Thermo Spectronic) and induced by addition of IPTG to a final concentration of 1 mM. (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Fe(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-6H<sub>2</sub>O (191 μM) was also added at induction. Induction was allowed to proceed aerobically for 2 h at 37 °C followed by 16 h at 4 °C under nitrogen purge with additional supplemented (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Fe(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-6H<sub>2</sub>O (191 μM). The cells were harvested anaerobically (8000 rpm and, 4 °C), washed with buffer A [50 mM HEPES (pH 7.6), 150 mM NaCl, and 1 mM DTT] in an anaerobic Coy chamber (Coy Laboratories), and stored at −80 °C.

**HydA<sup>ΔEFG</sup> Purification.** Cell-free extracts were prepared by resuspending the cells (described above) in degassed anaerobic buffer B [5 mL of buffer/g of cells, 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.6), 50 mM NaCl, 5% (w/v) glycerol, 1% (w/v) Triton X-100, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM DTT, and trace quantities of lysozyme and DNAase] and placing the suspension in a pressure cell bomb (1000 psi nitrogen pressure, 1 h) followed by centrifugation of the lysate (36,000 × g for 45 min). HydA<sup>ΔEFG</sup> was purified from the cell lysate by anion exchange chromatography on Q-Sepharose resin (GE Healthcare) followed by His-tag affinity chromatography to a Co<sup>2+</sup> resin (Talon resin; Clontech); all purification steps were done anaerobically using thoroughly degassed buffers under positive nitrogen pressure. Cell lysates were loaded onto a 100 mL Q-Sepharose column previously equilibrated in buffer C [50 mM HEPES (pH 7.6), 20% glycerol, and 1 mM DT]. The column was washed with buffer C supplemented with 100 mM NaCl, and HydA<sup>ΔEFG</sup> was eluted...
with buffer C in arrangement with a NaCl gradient up to a final concentration of 1 M while the absorbance at 405 nm was monitored. HydA\textsubscript{AEFG} protein-containing fractions were golden-brown in color, consistent with the presence of Fe-S clusters. These fractions were loaded onto a 25 mL Co\textsuperscript{3+} affinity column. The column, equilibrated with buffer D [50 mM HEPES (pH 7.0), 300 mM NaCl, 20% glycerol, 0.5 mM DT, and 5 mM βME], was washed with buffer D supplemented with 10 mM imidazole, and HydA\textsubscript{AEFG} was eluted using an imidazole gradient up to 100 mM in buffer D while the absorbance at 405 nm was monitored. The golden-brown protein-containing fractions were concentrated using an Amicon concentration cell under positive argon pressure with a 3 kDa cutoff membrane filter. The protein was desalted in a Coy anaerobic chamber using a Sephadex 2 mL G-25 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with buffer C and stored under liquid nitrogen. Overall, six different HydA\textsubscript{AEFG} protein preparations were used. For later preparations, it was determined that the quality of the spectroscopic data collected could be improved by selectively saving HydA\textsubscript{AEFG} fractions with the highest 405 nm to 280 nm absorbance ratios as measured after elution from the Q-Sepharose and Co\textsuperscript{3+} His-tag affinity columns.

**Assays.** Protein concentrations were determined using the Bradford assay (19) with bovine serum albumin (Sigma) as the standard. The specific hydrogenase activity of the purified HydA\textsubscript{AEFG} was measured using gas chromatography upon maximum in vitro activation with cell lysates containing maturational proteins HydE, HydF, and HydG from *Clostridium acetobutylicum* as described by McGlynn et al. (13). In the assays, dithionite (20 mM) was used as the reducing agent, methyl viologen (10 mM) was used as the electron carrier, and HydE, HydF, and HydG cell extracts from *Clostridium acetobutylicum* were used to activate HydA\textsubscript{AEFG}. The iron content of HydA\textsubscript{AEFG} was determined using a procedure described by Fish (20), which uses ferrozine under reductive conditions after digestion of the protein in 4.5% (w/v) KMnO\textsubscript{4} and 1.2 N HCl. Iron standards were prepared by dilution of a commercial Fe AA standard (Ricca Chemical Co.). Sulfide assays were conducted according to a procedure described by Beinert (21) and Broderick (22), and sulfide standards were prepared from Na\textsubscript{2}S-9H\textsubscript{2}O.

**Electronic Absorption Spectroscopy.** For UV-visible spectroscopic experiments, samples were prepared inside an anaerobic Coy chamber and transferred to anaerobic 1 mL cuvettes (NSG Precision Cells, Inc.). UV-visible spectra were recorded at room temperature with a Cary 300 (Varian) spectrophotometer. Reduced samples were prepared by adding 2 mM DT.

**EPR Spectroscopy.** Low-temperature X-band EPR spectra were recorded using a Bruker ESP300E spectrometer equipped with a liquid helium cryostat and temperature controller from Oxford Instruments. Typical EPR parameters were as follows: sample temperature, 12 K; microwave frequency, 9.36 GHz; attenuation, 20.4 dB; and microwave power, 1.85 mW. Sample concentrations varied between 128 and 512 μM. Reduced samples were prepared by adding 2 mM DT, and oxidized samples were prepared by titrating in increasing concentrations of ferricyanide (2–11 mM). The spin concentration was determined by double integration of the sample spectra using CuSO\textsubscript{4} (0.20 mM) and EDTA (2.0 mM) as the standard measured under identical conditions. Basic analysis of the collected spectra was conducted using the computer software program SpinCount (M. Hendrich, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA).

Mössbauer Spectroscopy. \textsuperscript{57}Fe was purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc., and dissolved in hot concentrated hydrochloric acid. The pH was adjusted with NaOH. HydA\textsubscript{AEFG} as-isolated \textsuperscript{57}Fe samples were prepared from 10 L cultures using the defined minimal medium (18) described above with \textsuperscript{56}Fe substituted at the same molar concentrations for \textsuperscript{56}Fe. \textsuperscript{57}Fe (191 μM) was also added at induction with IPTG. Protein samples (500–800 μM) were loaded into 450 μL cups and stored under liquid nitrogen. Mössbauer spectra were recorded on a Mössbauer spectrometer equipped with a Janis 8DT variable-temperature cryostat and operated at a constant acceleration mode in transmission geometry. The zero velocity refers to the centroid of a room-temperature spectrum of a metallic iron foil. Analysis of the spectra was performed with WMOSS (WEB Research).

**Fe K-Edge X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy.** HydA\textsubscript{AEFG} samples (1.9 mM) were prepared from the HydA\textsubscript{AEFG} protein isolated as described above. The EXAFS cells (Delrin) sealed with thin Fe-free Kapton tape were loaded with ~100 μL of sample. Fe K-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopic (XAS) measurements were conducted at beamline 7-3 (BL7-3) of the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL) under storage ring (SPEAR3) conditions with an energy of 3 GeV and a current of 100–80 mA on two different occasions. BL7-3 is a 20-pole, 2 T Wiggler beamline equipped with a Si(220) downward reflecting, double-crystal monochromator. Data were collected in the energy range from 6785 eV to 8 k = 17 Å\textsuperscript{-1} above the Fe K-edge using an unfocused beam. The frozen solution samples were mounted under liquid nitrogen and measured in a liquid He cryostat at ~11 K. The beamline parameters were optimized at 8000 eV. The Fe K\textsubscript{a} fluorescence signal was collected using a 30-element Ge array detector and with a Soller slit and Z-1 (Mn) filter. The energy windowing of the detector was carefully done to minimize the fluorescence signal due to scattering and other non-Fe K\textsubscript{a} emission sources.

**ATHENA (23),** a graphical-user interface to IFEFFIT (23), was used for averaging and background subtraction. The data were calibrated to the first rising-edge inflection point of the XAS spectra that was assigned to 7111.2 eV of an iron foil. The data are averages of at least five scans before normalization or background subtraction. ATHENA and AUTOBk were used to spline the postedge region and to obtain the EXAFS with an $R_{\text{bkg}}$ of 1.

**ARTEMIS (23), ATOMS (23), and FEFF (24) were used to model and fit the data and calculate Fe...Fe and Fe-S scattering paths, respectively. The structural models used in scattering calculations were derived from combination of average Fe...Fe and Fe-S distances of reduced and oxidized [4Fe-4S] model compounds (25). Due to the presence of various Fe environments which lead to various reasonable fits [defined as χ(fit) < 10\textsuperscript{-1}], we used the composition of Fe-S clusters in the EXAFS fits as constraints from corresponding Mössbauer measurements.

**Reconstitution of Iron−Sulfur Clusters in HydA\textsubscript{AEFG}.** HydA was subjected to reconstitution conditions following the general procedures described for biotin synthase (26). The protein (10 μM) was incubated with FeCl\textsubscript{3} (100 μM), Na\textsubscript{2}S (100 μM), and DTT (1 mM) in buffer E [50 mM HEPES (pH 7.0), 300 mM NaCl, and 20% glycerol] for 2–3 h with constant stirring in an anaerobic Coy chamber. Visually, the color of the diluted protein solution was observed to change to golden brown. All reagents were added sequentially, and following reconstitution, excess ions were removed using a G-25 Sephadex column.
The resulting reconstituted HydAΔEFG was assayed for hydrogenase activity by in vitro activation with HydE, HydF, and HydG from *C. reinhardtii*, and iron content was also analyzed as described earlier.

**Preparation of Apo-HydAΔEFG and Reconstitution.** Apo-HydAΔEFG from *C. reinhardtii* was prepared by stripping out all Fe-S clusters using EDTA as an Fe chelator. HydAΔEFG from *C. reinhardtii* was incubated aerobically with EDTA (100 mM) for 1 h in buffer E. Fe-S cluster chelation was monitored visually, and within 1 h, the golden-brown protein solution turned colorless. The resulting apoprotein was made anaerobic by degassing it under vacuum with sequential nitrogen purge and incubation with 5 mM DTT. Excess EDTA was removed with a G-25 Sephadex column, and verification that all Fe was stripped was confirmed by the low-temperature X-band EPR spectrum of reduced HydAΔEFG. The colorimetric iron assay was used to monitor addition of Fe. The hydrogenase activities of the different HydAΔEFG forms (HydAΔEFG apo-HydAΔEFG, and reconstituted apo-HydAΔEFG) were determined following activation with the HydE, HydF, and HydG maturation enzymes.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**HydAΔEFG binds a [4Fe-4S] Cluster.** Anaerobic purification of heterologously expressed *C. reinhardtii* HydAΔEFG [49 kDa (Figure 1A)] in *E. coli* gives a stable protein that is capable of being activated in vitro by *Cl. acetobutylicum* extracts containing HydE, HydF, and HydG. Specific activities of the six separate HydAΔEFG protein preparations after in vitro activation with HydE, HydF, and HydG enzymes ranged from 10 to 38 μmol of H₂ min⁻¹ (mg of HydAΔEFG)⁻¹. For in vivo coexpression of HydA from *C. reinhardtii* with the maturation genes from *Cl. acetobutylicum* in *E. coli*, H₂ evolution activity was previously reported to be 150 μmol of H₂ min⁻¹ (mg of HydAΔEFG)⁻¹ (10). The difference in activity between in vitro and in vivo expression systems is likely attributed to the low occupancy of H-cluster activating precursors assembled by HydE, HydF, and HydG, as well as the heterogeneity of the maturation system (14). It was evident that HydAΔEFG binds Fe-S clusters as observed by the dark brown color of the protein solution following purification as well as Fe (3.1 ± 0.5 Fe atoms/HydAΔEFG) and S²⁻ (1.8 ± 0.5 S²⁻ atoms/HydAΔEFG) analyses. It is important to note that the amount of Fe and S²⁻ bound per HydAΔEFG varied slightly between different purifications, suggesting that the Fe-S cluster present may be slightly labile during purification. The UV-visible absorbance spectrum shows a broad shoulder centered near 405 nm that upon reduction with DT decreases in intensity (Figure 1B). These absorbance features are consistent with the presence of Fe-S clusters in HydAΔEFG. This was further confirmed by the low-temperature X-band EPR spectrum of reduced HydAΔEFG which revealed an axial *S* = 1/2 signal (g = 2.04 and 1.91) characteristic of a reduced [4Fe-4S]⁺ cluster (Figure 2) (27). Also, a slight shoulder was observed at *g* = 2.04; however, the appearance of this feature varied between different protein preparations and could be minimized when the protein was further purified on the basis of collection of protein fractions with maximal 405 nm to 280 nm absorbance. The temperature and power dependence of the axial *S* = 1/2 signal showed a maximum intensity around temperatures of 10 K and diminished significantly at temperatures greater than 30 K at a power of 2 mW (Supporting Information). The signal was no longer observed.
observed above temperatures of 40 K and also did not saturate with an increase in microwave power. These temperature and power signal characteristics are typical for the presence of a [4Fe-4S]$^{2+}$ cluster (29). Spin quantification of the signal, using CuSO$_4$ (0.20 mM) with EDTA (2.0 mM) as a standard, gave 0.17 spin/Fe atom. Upon oxidation of HydA$_{AEFG}$ with ferricyanide, the [4Fe-4S]$^{2+}$ cluster signal disappeared and no EPR signal was observed (data not shown).

**Mössbauer Spectroscopic Characterization of HydA$_{AEFG}$.** Figure 3 shows the Mössbauer spectra of the $^{57}$Fe-enriched HydA in its as-purified (A) and dithionite-reduced (B and C) forms. The spectra were recorded at 4.2 K in a magnetic field of 50 mT applied parallel (A and B) and perpendicular (C) to the $\gamma$ radiation. The solid lines plotted above the data are simulated spectra for the [4Fe-4S]$^{2+}$ cluster (red), [4Fe-4S]$^{+}$ cluster (blue), and Fe$^{II}$ impurities (green), normalized to the following percent absorptions: (A) 70% [4Fe-4S]$^{2+}$, 15% [4Fe-4S]$^{+}$, 6% [4Fe-4S]$^{0}$, and 18% Fe$^{III}$. The black lines overlaid with the experimental spectra are composite spectra. Parameters used for the simulations are given in the text (for the [4Fe-4S]$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{II}$ impurities) and in the Supporting Information (for the [4Fe-4S]$^{+}$ cluster).
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**Figure 3:** Mössbauer spectra of as-purified (A) and dithionite-reduced (B and C) HydA$_{AEFG}$. The spectra (hatched marks) were recorded at 4.2 K in a magnetic field of 50 mT applied parallel (A and B) and perpendicular (C) to the $\gamma$ radiation. The solid lines plotted above the data are simulated spectra for the [4Fe-4S]$^{2+}$ cluster (red), [4Fe-4S]$^{+}$ cluster (blue), and Fe$^{II}$ impurities (green), normalized to the following percent absorptions: (A) 70% [4Fe-4S]$^{2+}$, 15% [4Fe-4S]$^{+}$, 6% [4Fe-4S]$^{0}$, and 18% Fe$^{III}$. The black lines overlaid with the experimental spectra are composite spectra. Parameters used for the simulations are given in the text (for the [4Fe-4S]$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{II}$ impurities) and in the Supporting Information (for the [4Fe-4S]$^{+}$ cluster).

The Mössbauer spectra exhibit an intensity pattern that depends on the direction of the applied field (blue lines in panels B and C), indicating that it originates from an EPR active Fe center. As reported above, reduced HydA displays an $S = \frac{1}{2}$ EPR signal that can be assigned to a [4Fe-4S]$^{+}$ cluster. The magnetic Mössbauer spectral component is therefore attributed to this $S = \frac{1}{2}$ Fe cluster. Consistent with the [4Fe-4S]$^{+}$ assignment, initial analysis of this spectral component indicates that it may be simulated as a superposition of two spectral components arising from the Fe$^{II}$Fe$^{III}$ and Fe$^{III}$Fe$^{III}$ pairs of a [4Fe-4S]$^{+}$ cluster (see the Supporting Information) (29, 30). On the basis of the parameters obtained for the outer quadrupole doublet [$\Delta E_Q = 2.77$ mm/s, $\delta = 1.30$ mm/s, $\Gamma$(left) = 0.53 mm/s, and $\Gamma$(right) = 0.80 mm/s], this component is attributed to non-cysteine-coordinated extraneous Fe$^{III}$ impurities, which may be generated via cluster degradation.

Decomposition of the Mössbauer spectra into the above-described spectral components shows that in the as-purified HydA$_{AEFG}$ sample (see Figure 3A) the majority (70%) of the Fe is present in the oxidized [4Fe-4S]$^{2+}$ form while a small percentage (15%) is in the reduced [4Fe-4S]$^{+}$ form. The remaining Fe (15%) is present as Fe$^{III}$ impurities. Upon reduction (see Figure 3B,C), a substantial amount of the [4Fe-4S]$^{2+}$ clusters are reduced to [4Fe-4S]$^{+}$ clusters, resulting in a decrease in the Mössbauer absorption of the [4Fe-4S]$^{2+}$ cluster from 70 to 6% and an increase in the [4Fe-4S]$^{+}$ absorption to 76%. That of the Fe$^{III}$ impurities also increases slightly to 18%, suggesting that the [4Fe-4S]$^{+}$ cluster in HydA$_{AEFG}$ is slightly unstable under dithionite reducing conditions. Thus, the Mössbauer data unambiguously show that the as-purified HydA$_{AEFG}$ contains predominantly [4Fe-4S] clusters. No other types of Fe-S clusters are detected.

The observation that the [4Fe-4S]$^{2+}$ cluster in HydA$_{AEFG}$ can be reduced by dithionite to the [4Fe-4S]$^{+}$ state establishes further that HydA$_{AEFG}$ contains a redox active [4Fe-4S]$^{2+}$/$^{+}$ cluster. Taking into consideration the Fe and protein content (1.9 Fe atoms/HydA$_{AEFG}$) determined for the as-purified $^{57}$Fe-enriched HydA$_{AEFG}$ and the total percent absorption of the [4Fe-4S] cluster detected by the Mössbauer measurement (85%), we find a stoichiometry of 0.4 [4Fe-4S] cluster/HydA$_{AEFG}$ for the as-purified HydA$_{AEFG}$.

**Fe K-Edge X-ray Absorption Spectroscopic Characterization of HydA$_{AEFG}$.** The Fourier transforms of EXAFS (FT-EXAFS) for the as-isolated HydA sample (black trace) and with a representative fit (red trace) are shown in Figure 4. The fitting parameters are summarized in Table 1. Among numerous reasonable fits with $R$(fit) values of 10$^{-3}$, Table 1 and Figure 4 report the one that was obtained using the Mössbauer results (see above) as constraints for the amount and distribution of various Fe sites. The initial parameters of the fit were set to represent the 70% oxidized and 15% reduced protein-embedded [4Fe-4S] cluster content. The average Fe–Fe, Fe–S, and Fe–S distances in synthetic [4Fe-4S] complexes are 2.74 ± 0.01, 2.25 ± 0.01, and 2.27 ± 0.03 Å, respectively (25). The protein-bound counterparts of Fe-S distances tend to be ~0.02 Å longer due to dipole and hydrogen bonding interactions involving the sulfides and thiolate sulfurs, which reduces the nucleophilicity of the sulfur atoms and thus the covalency of the sulfur–iron bonds. These differences between the synthetic model and protein-bound Fe-S clusters can be observed by EXAFS as demonstrated by a series of FT-EXAFS analyses of Fe-S clusters (31–33). Using the initial distances with standard deviations as initial
Debye–Waller factors of 2.74 ± 0.005, 2.24 ± 0.003, and 2.28 ± 0.003 Å for Fe⋯Fe, Fe–S, and Fe–S scattering paths, respectively, we obtained a reasonable fit that is shown in Figure 4. To prevent negative Debye–Waller factors (σ^2) and large deviations of the edge positions (E_0), we obtained the final fit by linking all the σ^2 and E_0 values while allowing the individual path lengths and their amplitudes to vary. The parameters in Table 1 fit acceptably well with the bulk of the experimental data (black trace), but a poor fit was found at the shorter distances. The residual FT-EXAFS intensity at these distances is consistent with the presence of ∼15% free iron that is likely partially solvated and/or coordinated with low-Z atoms, such as O and N from protein residues as suggested by Mössbauer results. The peak at around 1.9 ± 0.1 Å can be reasonably well fitted with an Fe center surrounded by six low-Z (O and N) scatterers in 15% abundance. The relatively short Fe–O distance of 1.9 Å is indicative of the presence of negatively charged O or N ligands for the Fe^II impurities (see above). The fit components and parameters for the latter are given as Supporting Information. For both FT-EXAFS fits, the resulting Fe⋯Fe (2.71–2.72 Å) and Fe–S (2.27 Å) distances are highly similar to those observed for the oxidized and reduced [4Fe-4S] cluster in HydE (2.72 and 2.73 Å, and 2.27 and 2.29 Å, respectively) (33) and thus further support the presence of the [4Fe-4S] cluster in HydA^EFG.

Reconstitution of the [4Fe-4S] Cluster in HydA^EFG. It was previously reported that HydA^EFG can be activated by cell extracts containing the maturation enzymes HydE, HydF, and HydG (13). This observation, coupled with our evidence described above for a [4Fe-4S] cluster in HydA^EFG, suggests that the [4Fe-4S] form of HydA^EFG is the substrate for assembly of the H-cluster by HydE, HydF, and HydG and further that the [4Fe-4S] cluster present in HydA^EFG becomes part of the H-cluster upon activation. To further explore the requirement for a preformed [4Fe-4S] cluster in HydA^EFG during activation with HydE, HydF, and HydG, HydA^EFG was chemically reconstituted with FeCl_3 and Na_2S. Fe analysis of the reconstituted HydA^EFG gave 4.0 ± 0.1 Fe/HydA^EFG and the EPR spectrum of reconstituted reduced HydA^EFG indicates the presence of a [4Fe-4S] cluster, with an axial S = 1/2 EPR signal (g = 2.04 and 1.91) essentially identical to that of the as-isolated enzyme. In vitro activation of the reconstituted HydA^EFG with HydE, HydF, and HydG gave hydrogenase activity [31.5 ± 0.5 μmol of H_2 min^-1 (mg of HydA^EFG)^-1] approximately 2-fold greater than that of the as-isolated HydA^EFG activated with HydE, HydF, and HydG (17.1 ± 2.4 μmol of H_2 min^-1 (mg of HydA^EFG)^-1)]. These observations provide additional evidence that the [4Fe-4S] form of HydA is the substrate for H-cluster assembly by HydE, HydF, and HydG, as increasing the [4Fe-4S] content improves the ability to activate HydA^EFG. In addition, the reconstitution results are consistent with our previous suggestion that the [4Fe-4S] cluster in HydA^EFG is labile during purification and is subsequently repopulated or repaired during reconstitution. In contrast, if the [4Fe-4S] cluster present in HydA^EFG was not required for activation by HydE, HydF, and HydG, it is likely that reconstitution would inhibit in vitro activation by generating a cluster at the H-cluster site that would prevent activation by the maturation enzymes.

Metal Chelation and Reconstitution. To further explore the hypothesis that the [4Fe-4S] cluster present in HydA^EFG is required for in vitro activation with HydE, HydF, and HydG, apo-HydA^EFG was prepared and then subsequently reconstituted with FeCl_3 and Na_2S. Both apo and reconstituted samples were subjected to in vitro activation by HydE, HydF, and HydG (Figure 5). The apo sample was found to contain zero Fe atoms per HydA^EFG, and upon in vitro activation with HydE, HydF, and HydG, the apo-HydA^EFG protein exhibited no hydrogenase activity. Following chemical reconstitution of apo-HydA^EFG with FeCl_3 and Na_2S, the protein contained 4.0 ± 0.1 Fe atoms/HydA^EFG and had an EPR spectrum essentially identical to that of the as-isolated enzyme. Subsequent in vitro activation of the reconstituted enzyme with HydE, HydF, and HydG produced hydrogenase activity.

Table 1: Representative Fitting Parameters for the As-Isolated HydA^EFG Sample Using the Fe-S Composition from Mössbauer Measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>scatterer</th>
<th>parameter^a</th>
<th>fitted value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe–S'</td>
<td>r (Å)</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>σ^2 (Å^2)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe–S'</td>
<td>r (Å)</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>σ^2 (Å^2)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe⋯Fe</td>
<td>r (Å)</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>σ^2 (Å^2)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R(fit)</td>
<td>7.65 × 10^-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E_0 (eV)</td>
<td>0.790</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a r, scattering path; N, coordination number; A, scattering path amplitude; σ^2, Debye–Waller factor as a measure of thermal displacement and disorder; k^2-weighted data fit in the k range of 1–14 Å^-1; FT-EXAFS window range fitted 1.5–3.3 Å.

FIGURE 4: FT-EXAFS plot (A) and individual EXAFS contributions (B) for the as-isolated HydA^EFG sample with a representative fit containing Fe⋯Fe, Fe–S(sulfide), and Fe–S(thiolate) scattering paths.
at a level of 90% of that of as-isolated HydA<sup>AEFG</sup>. The slightly lower activity in combination with increased Fe content relative to that obtained for the as-isolated enzyme may reflect the adventitious binding of Fe during reconstitution and/or a small percentage of partially denatured protein that resulted from the manipulation required to remove and reconstitute the iron—sulfur cluster. Nevertheless, these results clearly demonstrate that activation of HydA<sup>AEFG</sup> by HydE, HydF, and HydG requires the presence of a preformed [4Fe-4S] cluster on HydA.

**Relevance to H-Cluster Biosynthesis.** In relation to the overall scheme of [FeFe]-hydrogenase maturation and H-cluster biosynthesis, these results provide significant insights into the role of HydE, HydF, and HydG maturation machinery. Because our results show that HydA<sup>AEFG</sup> contains a redux active [4Fe-4S]<sup>2+/+</sup> cluster that is required for in vitro activation, logically it follows that the HydE, HydF, and HydG maturation machinery does not transfer a complete 6Fe-containing H-cluster to HydA<sup>AEFG</sup>. Moreover, HydE, HydF, and HydG maturation enzymes must be directed toward the synthesis of the 2Fe subcluster of the H-cluster or perhaps only the unique dithiolate and diatomic ligands of the 2Fe subcluster. The later hypothesis, however, seems more unlikely as CO and CN<sup>-</sup> ligands would presumably need a chemical platform of some sort (such as a 2Fe cluster) to be introduced into the maturation scheme. In light of previous work demonstrating that HydF serves as a scaffold or carrier protein which harbors an H-cluster precursor that resulted from the manipulation required to reconstitute the iron—sulfur cluster, these results provide significant insights into the overall scheme of [FeFe]-hydrogenase maturation and H-cluster biosynthesis. An atomic model of the H-cluster in activated HydA<sup>AEFG</sup> is depicted showing the coupling of the [4Fe-4S] cubane to the 2Fe subcluster with CO, CN<sup>-</sup>, and dithiolate ligands via a bridging cysteine ligand [from Protein Data Bank entry 3C8Y (61); the coloring scheme is as follows: dark red for Fe, orange for S, red for O, blue for N, dark gray for C, and magenta for an unknown atom of dithiolate ligand. Also, a water molecule is present at the distal Fe of the 2Fe subcluster in the presumed oxidized state of the H-cluster. The homology models of HydA<sup>AEFG</sup> and HydA of *C. reinhardtii* were constructed using the homology server Phyre (45), and HydA from *C. reinhardtii* was threaded on HydA from *C. pasteurianum* (CpH) during sequence alignment. Ribbon representations of the structures were made in PyMOL (46) with the C-terminal domain colored red.

**FIGURE 6:** Hypothetical scheme for [FeFe]-hydrogenase maturation. In two steps, radical-SAM enzymes HydE and HydG use HydF as a scaffold to modify a basic [2Fe-2S] cluster with the addition of (1) a dithiolate ligand and (2) CO and CN<sup>-</sup> ligands. The first step is proposed to take place via a sulfur—carbon bond insertion reaction and the second step via an amino acid precursor by radical formation (39). The magenta atoms of the 2Fe subcluster represent hypothetical protein ligands. After assembly of the ligand-modified 2Fe subcluster, HydF* transfers it to HydA<sup>AEFG</sup>, which already houses the [4Fe-4S] subcluster of the H-cluster, completing activation of [FeFe]-hydrogenase and H-cluster biosynthesis. An atomic model of the H-cluster in activated HydA<sup>AEFG</sup> was depicted showing the coupling of the [4Fe-4S] cubane to the 2Fe subcluster with CO, CN<sup>-</sup>, and dithiolate ligands via a bridging cysteine ligand [from Protein Data Bank entry 3C8Y (61); the coloring scheme is as follows: dark red for Fe, orange for S, red for O, blue for N, dark gray for C, and magenta for an unknown atom of dithiolate ligand. Also, a water molecule is present at the distal Fe of the 2Fe subcluster in the presumed oxidized state of the H-cluster. The homology models of HydA<sup>AEFG</sup> and HydA of *C. reinhardtii* were constructed using the homology server Phyre (45), and HydA from *C. reinhardtii* was threaded on HydA from *C. pasteurianum* (CpH) during sequence alignment. Ribbon representations of the structures were made in PyMOL (46) with the C-terminal domain colored red.

**FIGURE 5:** (A) Flow scheme outlining Fe content and hydrogenase activity during preparation of apo-HydA<sup>AEFG</sup> by stripping out all existing Fe—S clusters in HydA<sup>AEFG</sup> and the ensuing reconstitution of apo-HydA<sup>AEFG</sup>. Apo-HydA<sup>AEFG</sup> shows no hydrogenase activity following in vitro activation. Reconstituted apo-HydA<sup>AEFG</sup> displayed 90% of initial hydrogenase activity (compared to as-isolated HydA<sup>AEFG</sup>) upon in vitro activation with maturases. Also, Fe analysis of the reconstituted apo-HydA<sup>AEFG</sup> gave 4.0 ± 0.1 Fe atoms/protein.
CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated that HydA<sub>AEFG</sub> from C. reinhardtii contains a [4Fe-4S] cluster at partial occupancy and further that the presence of this [4Fe-4S] cluster is required for in vitro activation. The presence of the iron–sulfur cluster was evidenced by chemical analysis for iron and acid-labile sulfide, as well as by spectroscopic techniques that together pointed to a [4Fe-4S]<sup>2+</sup> cluster, with an occupancy of ~40%, in the as-isolated enzyme. This [4Fe-4S] cluster-containing enzyme is capable of undergoing activation by HydE, HydF, and HydG to produce an active hydrogenase. Apo-HydA<sub>AEFG</sub>, in contrast, does not produce an active hydrogenase upon incubation with HydE, HydF, and HydG under activation conditions. These results suggested that the [4Fe-4S] cluster present in the as-isolated enzyme is required to be present on HydA<sub>AEFG</sub> prior to activation by HydE, HydF, and HydG. Further support for this conclusion was provided by the observation that apo-HydA<sub>AEFG</sub> reconstituted to contain a [4Fe-4S] cluster could subsequently be converted to an active hydrogenase by HydE, HydF, and HydG. Together, our results provide important new insights into the process by which HydA is matured to an active enzyme in vivo. First, a [4Fe-4S] cluster must be assembled on HydA, in a process that is presumably dependent on the housekeeping iron–sulfur cluster assembly proteins. In the second step of maturation, HydE, HydF, and HydG likely serve to synthesize, assemble, and insert the 2Fe subcluster to generate the H-cluster on HydA. Our previous results provide evidence that HydF serves as a scaffold in this process (14), and the radical AdoMet enzymes HydE and HydG have been proposed to catalyze the synthesis of the CO, CN<sup>−</sup>, and dithiolate ligands to the 2Fe subcluster (39).
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EPR temperature and microwave power dependence of the [4Fe-4S]<sup>2+</sup> signal (Figure S1), Mössbauer spectral components of the [4Fe-4S]<sup>2+</sup> cluster signal (Figure S2), and EXAFS fit components and parameters (Figure S3 and Table S1). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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