## Fermions vs. Bosons, continued

Why do fermions obey Pauli Exclusion, but bosons obey "inclusion"?
We don't know why this division, but quantum mechanics distinguishes them in the symmetry under exchange of the coordinates of identical, interacting particles by the operator, $P_{1,2}$,
$P_{1,2} \Psi_{\text {fermi }}=-\Psi_{\text {fermi }}$
$P_{1,2} \Psi_{\text {bose }}=+\Psi_{\text {bose }}$
Simple example using an independent systems wave function for two particles. $\Psi_{\text {fermi }}=A(1) B(2)-A(2) B(1) \quad$ What happens if $A=B$, i.e., particles in same state?
$\Psi_{\text {fermi }}$ vanishes if $A=B$
$\Psi_{\text {bose }}=A(1) \mathrm{B}(2)+\mathrm{A}(2) \mathrm{B}(1)$ What happens if $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{B}$, i.e., particles in same state?
$\Psi_{\text {bose }}$ is magnified if $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{B}$
True for antisymmetric and symmetric determinants for any number of particles

## Quantum Concepts

## Who When What

| 1. Planck | 1905 | Quantization of Energy | $\Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{h} \nu$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2. Einstein | 1905 | Particle Nature of Light | $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{h} / \lambda$ |
| 3. DeBroglie | $\sim 1920$ | Wave $\quad$ Nature of Particles | $\lambda=h / p$ |

4. Bohr $\quad \sim$ 1920 | Quantization of |
| :---: |
| Angular Momentum |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}^{2}=\mathrm{l}(\mathrm{l}+1)(\mathrm{h} / 2 \pi)^{2} ; \\
& \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{~h} / 2 \pi) \\
& 2 \mathrm{~L}+1 \mathrm{~m} \text { values from }-\mathrm{L} \text { to }+\mathrm{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

for FERMIONS $L$ is half-integer ( $1 / 2,3 / 2, \ldots$. for BOSONS $L$ is integer $(0,1,2$....
5. Heisenberg ~1925 Uncertainty Principle square both sides, divide by $2 m \Delta x^{2}, \Delta p_{x} \cong p_{x}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { gives } p_{x}{ }^{2} / 2 m=K E_{x} \cong h^{2} / 2 m \Delta x^{2} \\
& \text { i.e., confinement to } \Delta x \text { creates } K E_{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta E & =h \nu \\
p & =h / \lambda \\
\lambda & =h / p
\end{aligned}
$$

## Time dependent Schrödinger Equation

$$
\frac{\partial \Psi(r, t)}{\partial t}=-i \frac{H}{\hbar} \Psi(r, t)
$$

It says by inspection that the future of a quantum state is predicted, IF one knows the wavefunction at a given time.
(we never do, except for very simple experiments)
All (non-relativistic) dynamics in nature are in principle described by this simple equation! Only limited by computer size and power.

We will show later in this course that ALL KINETIC RATE CONSTANTS for the rate: state $1 \rightarrow$ state 2 are proportional to $\left|H_{12}\right|^{2}$
i.e., the square of the Hamiltonian matrix element connecting states 1 and 2. (for example, the rate of electronic excitation is proportional to the electric dipole transition moment squared because the Hamiltonian $=\mathrm{e} \boldsymbol{E} \bullet \boldsymbol{r}$, the projection of the electric field of the light on the position of an electron.
$\frac{\partial \Psi(r, t)}{\partial t}=-i \frac{H}{\hbar} \Psi(r, t)$
IF : $H \Psi=E \Psi$, where $\mathrm{H}=$ Hamiltonian $=$ total energy operator
then $: \frac{\partial \Psi(r, t)}{\partial t}=-i \frac{E}{\hbar} \Psi(r, t)$
What is the solution?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi(r, t)=-i \frac{E}{\hbar} \Psi(r, t) \\
& \Psi(r, t) \propto \exp \left(-i \frac{E t}{\hbar}\right)=\exp (-i \omega t) \\
& \Psi^{*}(r, t) \Psi(r, t)=\text { constant, i.e., density is stationary! }
\end{aligned}
$$

## ALL non-E eigenstates exhibit moving probability density!

Below are videos of time dependent quantum computations of an electron moving through single and double slits.

## Time dependent Schrödinger

Equation Applied to a moving
SINGLE particle
(is this an energy eigenstate?
A moving "particle" is described by a superposition of many sin and cos waves which constructively interfere to give a spherical Gaussian probability near a certain point but destructively interfere


Large single slit everywhere else.

The Gaussian "wave packet" moves according to the kinetic energy given by the average frequency of the sin waves. This is how Newton's Laws emerge from quantum theory.
This demonstrates very well the uncertainty principle, and the generation of kinetic energy during the confinement while passing through the slit, resulting in large spreading of the wavefunction after emerging from slit.

Very small single slit


A moving "particle" is described by a superposition of a great many sin and cos waves which constructively interfere to give a Gaussian probability near a certain point but destructively interfere everywhere else.

The Gaussian "wave packet" moves according to the kinetic energy given by the average frequency of the sin waves. This is how Newton's Laws emerge from quantum theory.


## Double Slits <br> FAR apart




Double Slits
FAR apart
Peaks close together

Double Slits
CLOSE together
Peaks FAR apart

## All particles may be diffracted

including massive organic molecules!!



Phthalocyanine derivatives


Far-field diffraction of larger molecules [2,4]

- Phthalocyanine PcH2 (red): Highly fluorescent dye, thermally stable
- Perfluoro-alkylated phthalocyanines (green): Higher masses, high volatility, optical properties similar to PcH 2 .

